

## UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template

<b>Overall Report Rating</b>	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
------------------------------	-----------	------	--------------	----------------

<b>Response</b>					
<b>Title of the Evaluation Report</b>	<b>Thematic Evaluation on Women's Leadership and Political Participation 2011 - 2015 Evaluation Report</b>				
<b>Report sequence number</b>	0	<b>Date of Review</b>	February 11, 2017	<b>Year of the Evaluation Report</b>	<b>2016</b>
<b>Region</b>	Western and Central Africa			<b>Country(ies)</b>	Cameroun, Cote D'Ivoire, Mali, Nigeria and Senegal
<b>Executive Summary in Final Report</b>	Satisfactory			<b>TORs sent with Report</b>	Yes
<b>OVERALL RATING</b>				<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Overall Rating</b>	<p>The evaluation is based on an adequate mixed methods methodology that presents well analyzed findings based on credible data. However, the report requires significant improvement. It requires more detailed justification for why methodological choices were made; improvement in terms of the report structure and writing style; and re-formulated lessons learned in order to inform programming in other contexts or scenarios. Additionally, the recommendations should be explicitly prioritized and the process followed in developing them should be outlined (including any stakeholder engagement). Finally, the Executive Summary needs to be improved in order to reflect the key sections in the evaluation report (including evaluation methodology, findings, lessons learned, and recommendations).</p>				
<b>PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION</b>				<b>PARAMETER 1</b>	<b>Very Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 2</b>	<p>The report provides an excellent description of the object of evaluation, including its budget, scope, objectives, and key stakeholders. The contextual information provided is excellent and covers the international and national norms guiding WPP, the current situation of WPP in WCA, and the key factors inhibiting full WPP. The current programme's implementation status is also explained in great detail and the programme's theory of change is thoroughly critiqued.</p>				
<b>PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE</b>				<b>PARAMETER 2</b>	<b>Very Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 2</b>	<p>The purpose of the evaluation is exceptionally well outlined and identifies what information is needed, who will use the information, and why the timing of the evaluation is important. Evaluation objectives, evaluation scope, and the use of a human rights and gender equality approach are well outlined. The report states that the evaluation criteria were established by UN Women and justifies why the criteria of sustainability and impact were not included.</p>				
<b>PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY</b>				<b>PARAMETER 3</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 3</b>	<p>The evaluation used a mixed methods approach that collected both qualitative and quantitative data. The report describes how gender equality and human rights concerns were addressed, how ethics were observed, and the data collection methods, analysis methods, and data quality assurance methods used. However, the report does not sufficiently justify methodological choices made, specifically around the sampling frame, the selection of data sources, and the selection of data collection methods.</p>				

<b>PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS</b>	<b>PARAMETER 4</b>	<b>Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 4</b>	The findings are structured around the relevant evaluation criteria and they reflect systematic and appropriate analysis. They are objectively based on the evidence provided and regularly refer to the data collection methods (i.e. interviews, survey results, etc.) to justify them. They are particularly strong at describing reasons for accomplishments and failures. While gaps and limitations are extensively discussed, the report does not clearly identify unexpected findings.	
<b>PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED</b>	<b>PARAMETER 5</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 5</b>	Conclusions are overall well presented, as they provide pertinent insights, reflect reasonable evaluative judgements relating to key evaluation questions, are substantiated by evidence, and provide solutions to the most important areas for improvement. They focus almost exclusively, however, on areas for improvement and do not provide much information on good practices that can be replicated or scaled-up. Lessons learned are not properly presented as contributions to general knowledge and are simply summaries of findings that help introduce recommendations.	
<b>PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>PARAMETER 6</b>	<b>Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6</b>	The recommendations are supported by evidence, relevant to the object and purpose of the evaluation, identify the target group for action, and are actionable by reflecting a strong understanding of the commissioning organization and potential follow-up constraints. However, the report does not describe the development of the recommendations and they are clearly not presented in priority order, as they are presented by evaluation criterion.	
<b>PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS</b>	<b>PARAMETER 7</b>	<b>Approaching Requirements</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7</b>	The report is good at ensuring that GEEW data was gathered; and findings, conclusions, and recommendations are analyzed and discussed with gender considerations. Whilst the evaluation applied common participatory data collection tools, there is an opportunity to use more advanced gender responsive methods for participatory data analysis and interpretation.	
<b>PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE</b>	<b>PARAMETER 8</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8</b>	The opening pages and the annexes contain all of the necessary information. The report is overall well-structured but presents the conclusions after the recommendations and lessons learned, when they typically are presented before. The Executive Summary is informative but is missing key information, including the findings, recommendations, lessons learned, and information on evaluation methodology. The report also needs to be reviewed to improve the writing style and use of the English language.	