

UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template

Overall Report Rating	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
------------------------------	------------------	-------------	---------------------	-----------------------

Response	
Title of the Evaluation Report	Evaluation of Phase II of the JOINT PROGRAMME ON GENDER EQUALITY AND WOMEN'S EMPOWERMENT IN ETHIOPIA
Report sequence number	0
Date of Review	01 February 2018
Year of the Evaluation Report	2017
Region	Eastern and Southern Africa
Country(ies)	Ethiopia
Executive Summary in Final Report	Fully
TORs sent with Report	Yes
OVERALL RATING	
Overall Rating	Good
Executive Feedback on Overall Rating	This strong evaluation is presented through a clear and accessible report. The weaknesses that do exist are likely a product of the brevity of each section; such as limited social, cultural or political context. However, these do not detract from a solid set of findings and recommendations, partly based on the innovative use of World Cafe. There are many points of this report that would make useful examples for other evaluations.
PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION	PARAMETER 1
PARAMETER 1	Satisfactory
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	The report refers to a broad version of the theory of change in the opening sections, but provides a detailed presentation of the results framework in the findings and annexes. The context section is extremely brief, providing a national overview of status, but limited social, political, economic or demographic analysis. The Joint Programme structure and the distribution of budgets and activities is not explained in the introductory chapters.
PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE	PARAMETER 2
PARAMETER 2	Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 2	Purpose, objectives and scope are all clear. The criteria are listed, but not defined – and they deviate from OECD-DAC/UNEG standards without explanation. Gender is not explicitly covered in the criteria or objectives, but the report does explain that a human rights and gender responsive approach was commissioned.
PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY	PARAMETER 3
PARAMETER 3	Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 3	The report presents both the overall design and approach, as well as the methods. The mixed-methods utilisation-focused design is appropriate, although most of the methods are qualitative (with one small-sample survey to generate quantitative data). The use of World Cafe is innovative and adds significant value. The report discusses sampling strategy, but does not define the sample frame.
PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS	PARAMETER 4
PARAMETER 4	Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 4	The findings are systematic and cover a lot of ground. They are strongest in relation to effectiveness and efficiency; marshalling multiple lines of evidence. Under the relevance criterion the level of evidence is not always so clear.
PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED	PARAMETER 5
PARAMETER 5	Very Good
Executive Feedback on Parameter 5	The conclusions develop strong and balanced insights based directly on the evidence from findings. These provide a useful steer for users on the direction of the JP, which is directly linked to the purpose of the evaluation.
PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS	PARAMETER 6
PARAMETER 6	Good
Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6	The recommendations are usefully presented in two categories: immediate actions, and future-looking programming.
PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS	PARAMETER 7
PARAMETER 7	Meets Requirements

<p>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7</p>	<p>GEEW is implicitly covered in the scope of the evaluation given the focus of the joint programme, however neither the objectives nor the scope sections of the report elaborate on this. The evaluation uses the JP framework indicators, which relate to gender.</p> <p>GEEW is mainstreamed throughout the evaluation criteria, including with specific questions. The evaluation report emphasises HRBA.</p> <p>The evaluation makes use of mixed methods explicitly designed to be gender-responsive, including: consulting with rights holders in qualitative data collection; a quantified survey of stakeholders; and an innovative use of World Café to interpret evaluation evidence in a participatory way.</p> <p>The evaluation findings pay extensive attention to gender analysis. The conclusions and recommendations build on this analysis, but are heavily focused on institutional issues with less attention given to deepening the gender analysis.</p>	
<p>PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE</p>	<p>PARAMETER 8</p>	<p>Very Good</p>
<p>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8</p>	<p>A well presented and highly readable evaluation report.</p>	