

## UN Women GERAAS Executive Review Template

<b>Overall Report Rating</b>	<b>Very Good</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>
------------------------------	------------------	-------------	---------------------	-----------------------

<b>Response</b>					
<b>Title of the Evaluation Report</b>	<b>UN WOMEN ETHIOPIA COUNTRY OFFICE: Country Portfolio Evaluation Report</b>				
<b>Report sequence number</b>	0	<b>Date of Review</b>	08 February 2017	<b>Year of the Evaluation Report</b>	<b>2016</b>
<b>Region</b>	Eastern and Southern Africa			<b>Country(ies)</b>	Ethiopia
<b>Executive Summary in Final Report</b>	Satisfactory			<b>TORs sent with Report</b>	Yes
<b>OVERALL RATING</b>				<b>Overall Rating</b>	<b>Very Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Overall Rating</b>	This is a good quality report that can be used with confidence since for the most part it observes good or best practices in all of its sections. The only elements that need to be addressed are: the evaluation must include quantitative methods to contrast the collected data obtained through qualitative methods; unexpected findings should be more clearly identified; and lessons learned should contribute to general knowledge. Finally, the executive summary needs to be further synthesized.				
<b>PARAMETER 1: OBJECT AND CONTEXT OF THE EVALUATION</b>				<b>PARAMETER 1</b>	<b>Very Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 2</b>	The evaluation presents a clear and complete description of the object of the evaluation. It includes a description of the overall ECO Theory of Change and both a pictorial and a written description of the Theory of Change for three of the impact areas that the Ethiopian Country Programme has been working on (although the reason why a pictorial graph of the ToC for the remaining impact areas are not available is not discussed); and a very complete and informative description of the context in which the country strategy operated. Also, the key stakeholders, including the implementing agencies, are very clearly presented along with their roles and contributions. Finally, the implementation status is discussed in detail.				
<b>PARAMETER 2: PURPOSE, OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE</b>				<b>PARAMETER 2</b>	<b>Very Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 2</b>	The evaluation's purpose, objectives and scope are thoroughly addressed in the evaluation report. The evaluation is particularly strong at clearly explaining the evaluation objectives. The evaluation questions and subquestions are presented in the annexes. The report also discusses in detail the way in which gender and human rights perspectives were included in the evaluation objectives and scope.				
<b>PARAMETER 3: METHODOLOGY</b>				<b>PARAMETER 3</b>	<b>Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 3</b>	The methodology used for the evaluation is clearly described and includes sufficient information on all aspects assessed in parameter 3. However, although the methodology is said to have used mixed quantitative and qualitative methods, no first-hand quantitative data was collected. On the other hand, data sources, the sampling frame as well as the rationale for selecting them are duly addressed. Also, the stakeholders consulted are specified and the interview protocols are presented in the annexes. Finally, the evaluation contemplates methods that are appropriate for analysing gender and				

	human-rights considerations, and the evaluation design's ethical safeguards and mechanisms implemented throughout the evaluation process are discussed.		
<b>PARAMETER 4: FINDINGS</b>		<b>PARAMETER 4</b>	<b>Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 4</b>	The findings are clearly presented and structured around the evaluation criteria and so as to respond to key evaluation questions for greater clarity. They are relevant and based on the objective use of a significant quantity of credible evidence. Also, all findings reflect a systematic and appropriate analysis and interpretation of the presented data. Gaps and limitations are correctly addressed and the reasons for accomplishments and failures are duly identified. However, unexpected findings are not clearly identified.		
<b>PARAMETER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND LESSONS LEARNED</b>		<b>PARAMETER 5</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on Parameter 5</b>	The conclusions provide pertinent insights and added value to the findings. Also, the conclusions are formulated so as to address all the evaluation criteria; provide solutions to issues that may be encountered; and they address both the strengths and areas of improvement of the object of evaluation. However, the report does not adequately identify Lessons Learned.		
<b>PARAMETER 6: RECOMMENDATIONS</b>		<b>PARAMETER 6</b>	<b>Very Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 6</b>	The recommendations are relevant to the object and purpose of the evaluation. They are supported by evidence; identify the target group for each recommendation; and reflect a deep understanding of the commissioning organization and potential constraints. The report duly describes the process followed in developing the recommendations, including the level and type of consultation with stakeholders.		
<b>PARAMETER 7: GENDER AND HUMAN RIGHTS</b>		<b>PARAMETER 7</b>	<b>Meets Requirements</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 7</b>	Gender and Human-Rights perspectives are integrated throughout the evaluation process and sufficiently discussed in every stage of it.		
<b>PARAMETER 8: THE REPORT STRUCTURE</b>		<b>PARAMETER 8</b>	<b>Good</b>
<b>Executive Feedback on PARAMETER 8</b>	The report is logically structured, complete, and easy to follow. The title page and opening pages contain all of the necessary elements, including names and origin of the evaluators. Also, the amount of information included in the annexes is particularly thorough, which greatly increases the credibility of the report. The executive summary can stand alone and inform decision-making although it is slightly too long (10 pages).		